

Explicit Arithmetic of Modular Curves  
Lecture III: Eichler–Shimura and Modular Jacobians

Samir Siksek (Warwick/IHÉS/IHP)

19 June 2019

## Notation

- $H$  subgroup of  $GL_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$  satisfying  $\det(H) = (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^*$ .
- $\Gamma_H$   $\{A \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) : (A \bmod N) \in H \cap SL_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})\}$ ,  
congruence subgroup associated to  $H$ .
- $X_H$  modular curve associated to  $H$  ( $X_H(\mathbb{C}) \cong \Gamma_H \backslash \mathbb{H}^*$ ).
- $J_H$  Jacobian of  $H$ .
- $X_H$  and  $J_H$  have models over  $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[1/N])$ ,  
so makes sense to talk about reduction at  $\ell \nmid N$ .
- $\Omega(H)$  space of regular differentials on  $X_H$ .
- $S_2(\Gamma_H)$  space of weight 2 cuspforms for  $\Gamma_H$ .

There is an isomorphism

$$S_2(\Gamma_H) \cong \Omega(X_H), \quad f(q) \mapsto f(q) \frac{dq}{q}.$$

In particular,

$$\text{genus}(X_H) := \dim(\Omega(X_H)) = \dim(S_2(\Gamma_H)).$$

## Eichler–Shimura

There is an action of the Hecke algebra on  $S_2(\Gamma_H)$ . Let  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  be representatives of Galois orbits of Hecke eigenforms.

### Theorem (Eichler–Shimura)

Let  $f \in \{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$  be some representative of the Galois orbits of the eigenforms.

- Associated to  $f$  is an abelian variety  $\mathcal{A}_f/\mathbb{Q}$ .
- $\dim(\mathcal{A}_f) = [K_f : \mathbb{Q}]$  where  $K_f$  is the Hecke eigenvalue field of  $f$ .
- Moreover,  $\text{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{A}_f)$  is an order in  $K_f$  (we say that  $\mathcal{A}_f$  is of  $\text{GL}_2$ -type).
- In particular  $\text{rank}(\mathcal{A}_f(\mathbb{Q}))$  is a multiple of  $[K_f : \mathbb{Q}]$ .

Finally,

$$J_H \sim \mathcal{A}_{f_1} \times \mathcal{A}_{f_2} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{A}_{f_n},$$

where  $\sim$  denotes isogeny over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

## Example $J_0(43)$

Let us consider  $X_0(43)$  and its Jacobian  $J_0(43)$ . i.e. we're taking  $H = B_0(43) \subset \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_{43})$  and  $\Gamma_H = \Gamma_0(43)$ .

Using Magma or SAGE: eigenforms of  $S_2(\Gamma_0(43))$  are

$$\begin{aligned}f &= q - 2q^2 - 2q^3 + 2q^4 - 4q^5 + \dots \\g_1 &= q + \sqrt{2} \cdot q^2 - \sqrt{2} \cdot q^3 + (2 - \sqrt{2}) \cdot q^5 + \dots \\g_2 &= q - \sqrt{2} \cdot q^2 + \sqrt{2} \cdot q^3 + (2 + \sqrt{2}) \cdot q^5 + \dots\end{aligned}$$

The Hecke eigenvalue field for  $f$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ . The eigenform  $f$  corresponds to a dimension 1 abelian variety, which is the elliptic curve 43A1 with Weierstrass model

$$\mathcal{A}_f : y^2 + y = x^3 + x^2.$$

Note that  $g_1, g_2$  form a single Galois orbit, with Hecke eigenvalue field  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$  of degree 2. The abelian variety  $\mathcal{A}_{g_1} = \mathcal{A}_{g_2}$  has dimension 2. Moreover,

$$J_0(43) \sim \mathcal{A}_f \times \mathcal{A}_{g_1}$$

has dimension 3 and so  $X_0(43)$  has genus 3. What can we say about the Mordell–Weil group  $J_0(43)(\mathbb{Q})$ ?

## Kolyvagin–Logachev

Now let  $g \in \{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$ , let  $K_g$  be the Hecke eigenvalue field of  $g$ , and let  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_d : K_g \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be the embeddings of  $\mathbb{C}$  (here  $d = [K_g : \mathbb{Q}] = \dim(\mathcal{A}_g)$ ). Let  $g_i = \sigma(g)$  be the conjugates of  $g$ . Then we have an equality of  $L$ -functions

$$L(\mathcal{A}_g, s) = \prod_{i=1}^d L(g_i, s) \quad (g = \sum a_n q^n \implies L(g, s) = \sum \frac{a_n}{n^s}).$$

We have the following famous theorem, which is a version of weak BSD for modular Jacobians.

### Theorem (Kolyvagin and Logachev)

*Suppose  $\mathcal{A}_g$  is a factor of  $J_0(M)$  for some  $M$ .*

- (i) If  $\text{ord}_{s=1}(L(g_i, s)) = 0$  for some  $i$  then  $\text{ord}_{s=1}(L(g_i, s)) = 0$  for all  $i$  and  $\text{rank}(\mathcal{A}_g(\mathbb{Q})) = 0$ .*
- (ii) If  $\text{ord}_{s=1}(L(g_i, s)) = 1$  for some  $i$  then  $\text{ord}_{s=1}(L(g_i, s)) = 1$  for all  $i$  and  $\text{rank}(\mathcal{A}_g(\mathbb{Q})) = \dim(\mathcal{A}_g) = [K_g : \mathbb{Q}]$ .*

$$L(\mathcal{A}_g, s) = \prod_{i=1}^d L(g_i, s) \quad (g = \sum a_n q^n \implies L(g, s) = \sum \frac{a_n}{n^s}).$$

### Theorem (Kolyvagin and Logachev)

Suppose  $\mathcal{A}_g$  is a factor of  $J_0(M)$  for some  $M$ .

- (i) If  $\text{ord}_{s=1}(L(g_i, s)) = 0$  for some  $i$  then  $\text{ord}_{s=1}(L(g_i, s)) = 0$  for all  $i$  and  $\text{rank}(\mathcal{A}_g(\mathbb{Q})) = 0$ .
- (ii) If  $\text{ord}_{s=1}(L(g_i, s)) = 1$  for some  $i$  then  $\text{ord}_{s=1}(L(g_i, s)) = 1$  for all  $i$  and  $\text{rank}(\mathcal{A}_g(\mathbb{Q})) = \dim(\mathcal{A}_g) = [K_g : \mathbb{Q}]$ .

**Fact.**  $L(\mathcal{A}_g, 1)/\Omega_g \in \mathbb{Q}$  is a rational number, where  $\Omega_g$  is integral of the Néron differential over  $\mathcal{A}_g(\mathbb{R})$ .

The modular symbols algorithm can in fact compute  $L(\mathcal{A}_g, 1)/\Omega_g$  exactly.

Values  $L^{(r)}(\mathcal{A}_g, 1)$  can only be computed numerically for  $r \geq 1$ .

## $X_0(43)$ continued.

Recall

$$J_0(43) \sim \mathcal{A}_f \times \mathcal{A}_{g_1} \quad \dim(\mathcal{A}_f) = 1, \quad \dim(\mathcal{A}_{g_1}) = 2.$$

What can we say about the Mordell–Weil group  $J_0(43)(\mathbb{Q})$ ?

In fact

$$\frac{L(\mathcal{A}_f, 1)}{\Omega_{\mathcal{A}_f}} = 0, \quad \frac{L(\mathcal{A}_{g_1}, 1)}{\Omega_{\mathcal{A}_g}} = \frac{2}{7}.$$

So we know that  $\mathcal{A}_{g_1}(\mathbb{Q})$  has rank 0 from the Kolyvagin–Logachev theorem. What about  $\mathcal{A}_f(\mathbb{Q})$ ?

We find that

$$L'(f, 1) = 0.34352\dots$$

so by the Kolyvagin–Logachev theorem,  $\mathcal{A}_f(\mathbb{Q})$  has rank 1. Hence  $J_0(43)(\mathbb{Q})$  has rank 1.

## Injectivity of Torsion

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an abelian variety over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . We know  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}}$  is finite.

Let  $p$  be a prime of good reduction for  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then we have a natural homomorphism

$$\text{red}_p : \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{F}_p), \quad P \mapsto \tilde{P}.$$

### Theorem (Katz)

*Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an abelian variety over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Let  $p \geq 3$  be a prime of good reduction. Then  $\text{red}_p$  is injective when restricted to the torsion subgroup  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}}$ .*



## $X_0(31)$ and $X_1(31)$

Let's consider  $J_0(31)$  instead. There is only one Galois orbit of eigenforms of weight 2 for  $\Gamma_0(31)$ :

$$f_1 = q + \alpha q^2 - 2\alpha q^3 + (\alpha - 1)q^4 + q^5 + \dots, \quad \alpha = \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2}$$
$$f_2 = q + \beta q^2 - 2\beta q^3 + (\beta - 1)q^4 + q^5 + \dots, \quad \beta = \frac{1 - \sqrt{5}}{2}.$$

$\therefore X_0(31)$  has genus 2.

And  $J_0(31)$  is a simple 2-dimensional abelian variety.

We find that

$$L(J_0(31), 1)/\Omega = 2/5, \quad \therefore \text{rank}(J_0(31)(\mathbb{Q})) = 0.$$

**Objective.** Use fact  $\text{rank}(J_0(31)(\mathbb{Q})) = 0$  to show that there are no elliptic curves over  $\mathbb{Q}$  with a point of order 31.

Work by contradiction. Suppose  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  has a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -rational point  $Q$  of order 31. Then  $P = [(E, Q)]$  is a non-cuspidal rational point  $P \in X_1(31)(\mathbb{Q})$ .

We consider this commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X_1(31)(\mathbb{Q}) & \xrightarrow{\pi} & X_0(31)(\mathbb{Q}) & \longrightarrow & X(1)(\mathbb{Q}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X_1(31)(\mathbb{F}_3) & \longrightarrow & X_0(31)(\mathbb{F}_3) & \longrightarrow & X(1)(\mathbb{F}_3). \end{array}$$

Note that  $\pi(P) = [(E, \langle Q \rangle)]$ .

**Assumption:**  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  has a point  $Q$  of order 31.

**Question:** Can  $E$  has good reduction at 3? Suppose it does. Then, by the injectivity of torion,  $E(\mathbb{F}_3)$  has a point of order 31, which is impossible because  $\#E(\mathbb{F}_3) \leq 7$  by the Hasse–Weil bounds.

$\therefore E$  cannot have good reduction at 3.

**Question:** Can  $E$  have potentially good reduction at 3? Suppose it does. We consider the filtration

$$E(\mathbb{Q}_3) \supset E_0(\mathbb{Q}_3) \supset E_1(\mathbb{Q}_3) \supset E_2(\mathbb{Q}_3) \cdots$$

Theory of the formal group tells us  $E_1(\mathbb{Q}_3) \cong \mathbb{Z}_3$  which has no torsion. Moreover,

$$[E(\mathbb{Q}_3) : E_0(\mathbb{Q}_3)] \leq 4, \quad [E_0(\mathbb{Q}_3) : E_1(\mathbb{Q}_3)] = \#\tilde{E}_{ns}(\mathbb{F}_3) = 3$$

as  $E$  has additive reduction.

$\therefore E(\mathbb{Q}_3)$  does not have 31 torsion. Contradiction.

**Assumption:**  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  has a point  $Q$  of order 31.

$\therefore E$  has potentially multiplicative reduction at 3.

$$\therefore \text{ord}_3(j(E)) < 0.$$

Recall  $P = [(E, Q)] \in X_1(31)(\mathbb{Q})$ ,  $\pi(P) = [(E, \langle Q \rangle)] \in X_0(31)(\mathbb{Q})$  and

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X_1(31)(\mathbb{Q}) & \xrightarrow{\pi} & X_0(31)(\mathbb{Q}) & \longrightarrow & X(1)(\mathbb{Q}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X_1(31)(\mathbb{F}_3) & \longrightarrow & X_0(31)(\mathbb{F}_3) & \longrightarrow & X(1)(\mathbb{F}_3). \end{array}$$

$\text{ord}_3(j(E)) < 0 \implies$  image of  $P$  in  $X(1)(\mathbb{F}_3)$  is the cusp.

$$\therefore \pi(P) \equiv c \pmod{3} \quad c \in \{\text{cusps of } X_0(31)\}.$$

Consider  $[\pi(P) - c] \in J_0(31)(\mathbb{Q})$ .

This is a torsion point as  $J_0(31)(\mathbb{Q})$  has rank 0.

Recall  $P = [(E, Q)] \in X_1(31)(\mathbb{Q})$ ,  $\pi(P) = [(E, \langle Q \rangle)] \in X_0(31)(\mathbb{Q})$  and

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X_1(31)(\mathbb{Q}) & \xrightarrow{\pi} & X_0(31)(\mathbb{Q}) & \longrightarrow & X(1)(\mathbb{Q}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X_1(31)(\mathbb{F}_3) & \longrightarrow & X_0(31)(\mathbb{F}_3) & \longrightarrow & X(1)(\mathbb{F}_3). \end{array}$$

$\text{ord}_3(j(E)) < 0 \implies$  image of  $P$  in  $X(1)(\mathbb{F}_3)$  is the cusp.

$$\therefore \pi(P) \equiv c \pmod{3} \quad c \in \{\text{cusps of } X_0(31)\}.$$

Consider  $[\pi(P) - c] \in J_0(31)(\mathbb{Q})$ . This is a torsion point as  $J_0(31)(\mathbb{Q})$  has rank 0.

But  $[\widetilde{\pi(P)} - c] = 0 \in J_0(31)(\mathbb{F}_3)$ . **By injectivity of reduction modulo 3 on torsion**  $[\pi(P) - c] = 0 \in J_0(31)(\mathbb{Q})$ .  $\therefore \pi(P) = c$ .

$$\therefore X_1(31)(\mathbb{Q}) \subset \{\text{cusps}\}.$$

- We only needed the fact that the point comes from  $X_1(31)$  to make sure it reduces to a cusp modulo 3.
- In fact if  $R \in X_0(31)(\mathbb{Q})$  reduces to a cusp modulo any prime  $p \neq 2, 31$  then  $R$  must equal that cusp, by the above argument.
- i.e. if  $R \in X_0(31)(\mathbb{Q})$  then  $j(R) \in \mathbb{Z}[1/62]$ . So problem of determining the rational points on  $X_0(31)$  is essentially reduced to a problem about integral points.
- Determining  $X_0(31)(\mathbb{Q})$  is easier if we know the whole of  $J_0(31)(\mathbb{Q})$ .

### Theorem (Mazur)

Let  $p$  be a prime. Then

$$J_0(p)(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}} = (\mathbb{Z}/d_p\mathbb{Z}) \cdot [c_1 - c_2], \quad d_p = \text{num} \left( \frac{p-1}{12} \right)$$

where  $c_1, c_2$  are the two cusps of  $X_0(p)$ .

# $J_0(31)(\mathbb{Q})$

## Theorem (Mazur)

Let  $p$  be a prime. Then

$$J_0(p)(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}} = (\mathbb{Z}/d_p\mathbb{Z}) \cdot [c_1 - c_2], \quad d_p = \text{num} \left( \frac{p-1}{12} \right)$$

where  $c_1, c_2$  are the two cusps of  $X_0(p)$ .

In our case  $J_0(31)(\mathbb{Q}) = \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{5\mathbb{Z}} \cdot [c_1 - c_2]$ .

**Goal.** Determine  $X_0(31)(\mathbb{Q})$ .

- Let  $Q \in X_0(31)(\mathbb{Q})$ . Then  $[Q - c_2] = n \cdot [c_1 - c_2]$  for  $n = 0, 1, \dots, 4$ .
- $Q \sim n \cdot c_1 + (1 - n) \cdot c_2$  for  $n \in \{0, \dots, 4\}$ .
- If  $n = 0$  then  $Q = c_2$  and  $n = 1$  then  $Q = c_1$ . What about  $n = 2, 3, 4$ ? Write  $D_n = c_1 + (1 - n)c_2$ .
- $\therefore Q \sim D_n$ . i.e.  $Q = D_n + \text{div}(f)$  where  $f \in \mathbb{Q}(X_0(31))^*$ .

In our case  $J_0(31)(\mathbb{Q}) = \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{5\mathbb{Z}} \cdot [c_1 - c_2]$ .

**Goal.** Determine  $X_0(31)(\mathbb{Q})$ .

- Let  $Q \in X_0(31)(\mathbb{Q})$ . Then  $[Q - c_2] = n \cdot [c_1 - c_2]$  for  $n = 0, 1, \dots, 4$ .
- $Q \sim n \cdot c_1 + (1 - n) \cdot c_2$  for  $n \in \{0, \dots, 4\}$ .
- If  $n = 0$  then  $Q = c_2$  and  $n = 1$  then  $Q = c_1$ . What about  $n = 2, 3, 4$ ? Write  $D_n = c_1 + (1 - n)c_2$ .
- $\therefore Q \sim D_n$ . i.e.  $Q = D_n + \text{div}(f)$  where  $f \in \mathbb{Q}(X_0(31))^*$ .
- $f \in L(D_n)$ . To compute Riemann–Roch space need a model.

A model for  $X_0(31)$  was worked out by Galbraith:

$$X_0(31) \quad : \quad y^2 = \underbrace{x^6 - 8x^5 + 6x^4 + 18x^3 - 11x^2 - 14x - 3}_h.$$

Here  $c_1, c_2$  are the two points at  $\infty$  on this model. We find that  $\dim(L(D_n)) = 1, 1, 0, 0, 0$  for  $n = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$  respectively. Thus there is no point  $Q \sim D_n$  for  $n = 2, 3, 4$ . Hence  $X_0(31)(\mathbb{Q}) = \{c_1, c_2\}$ . In particular, there are no elliptic curves over  $\mathbb{Q}$  with a 31-isogeny.



## Sketch of Mazur's Theorem for $X_1(p)$

**Defn.** A morphism of schemes  $\theta : X \rightarrow Y$  over  $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[1/p])$  is a **formal immersion** at  $x \in X(\mathbb{Q})$  if the induced map

$$\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{Y, f(x)} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X, x}$$

is surjective.

**Remark.** Let  $q \neq p$  be a prime. Let

$$\text{res}_q(x) := \{x' \in X(\mathbb{Q}_q) : x' \equiv x \pmod{q}\}$$

which is called the  $q$ -adic residue disc of  $x$ . If  $\theta$  is a formal immersion at  $x$  then the map

$$\theta : \text{res}_q(x) \rightarrow Y(\mathbb{Q}_q)$$

is an injection.

## Proposition

Let  $Y = \mathcal{A}$  be an abelian variety such that  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{Q})$  has rank 0. Let  $\theta : X \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  be a morphism over  $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[1/p])$  that is formal immersion at  $x \in X(\mathbb{Q})$ . Then

$$X(\mathbb{Q}) \cap \text{res}_q(x) = \{x\}$$

for all primes  $q \notin \{2, p\}$ .

## Proof.

- Let  $x' \in X(\mathbb{Q}) \cap \text{res}_q(x)$ .
- Then  $x' \equiv x \pmod{q}$ .
- Thus  $\theta(x') - \theta(x)$  is an element of  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{Q})$  that reduces to 0 modulo  $q$ .
- But  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{Q})$  is torsion. By the injectivity of torsion  $\theta(x') - \theta(x) = 0$ . Thus  $\theta(x') = \theta(x)$ .
- However, as  $\theta$  is a formal immersion at  $x$ , and  $x'$  belong to  $\text{res}_q(x)$  we have  $x = x'$ .



# Mazur's Theorem for $X_1(p)$

## Theorem

Let  $p \geq 11$  prime. Then there is no elliptic curve  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  with a rational point of order  $p$ . Equivalently,  $X_1(p)(\mathbb{Q}) \subset \{\text{cusps}\}$ .

## Sketch.

- Suppose  $z \in X_1(p)(\mathbb{Q})$  is not a cusp.
- Then  $z = [(E, P)]$  where  $E$  is an elliptic curve defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $P$  is a rational point of order  $p$ .
- Then  $E$  has potentially multiplicative reduction at 3.

Let  $y = \pi(z)$  where  $\pi : X_1(p) \rightarrow X_0(p)$  is the degeneracy map. In particular  $z$  reduces mod 3 to one of the cusps on  $X_0$ .

The Atkin-Lehner involution swaps the cusps. Thus we can suppose that  $y$  reduces to the infinity cusp on  $X_0$  which we denote by  $\infty \in X_0(p)(\mathbb{Q})$ .

## Sketch.

- Suppose  $z \in X_1(p)(\mathbb{Q})$  is not a cusp.
- Then  $z = [(E, P)]$  where  $E$  is an elliptic curve defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $P$  is a rational point of order  $p$ .
- Then  $E$  has potentially multiplicative reduction at 3.

Let  $y = \pi(z)$  where  $\pi : X_1(p) \rightarrow X_0(p)$  is the degeneracy map. In particular  $y$  reduces mod 3 to one of the cusps on  $X_0$ .

The atkin-Lehner involution swaps the cusps. Thus we can suppose that  $y$  reduces to the infinity cusp on  $X_0$  which we denote by  $\infty \in X_0(p)(\mathbb{Q})$ .

- We let  $J_e(p)$  be the largest quotient of  $J$  that has analytic rank 0. This **Merel's winding quotient**. We know by Kolyvagin–Logachev that this has rank 0. We take  $\theta$  to be the map  $X_0(p) \rightarrow J_0(p) \rightarrow J_e(p)$ .
- Highly non-trivial fact: this is a formal immersion at  $\infty$ . Now

$$y \in \text{res}_3(\infty) \cap X_0(3)(\mathbb{Q}).$$

Hence by previous proposition  $y = \infty$ . Thus  $z$  is a cusp. □

## Other modular curves

- Proofs of

- ▶ Mazur's theorem for  $X_0(p)$ ;
- ▶ Merel's Uniform Boundedness theorem;
- ▶ the theorem of Bilu, Parent and Rebolledo for  $X_s^+(p)$ ;

all crucially depend on the existence of a rank 0 quotient of the modular Jacobian.

- However, for  $X_{ns}^+(p)$  it is known that every factor of the Jacobian has odd analytic rank, and so assuming BSD has non-zero rank. This is the reason why Serre's uniformity conjecture is still an open problem.